TNO ASTE BY UGG-OD COOKER PORT OF A LABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

Mark Shannon Wheeler, #139044 }

V.

Bill Segrest, et al

Defendant

Defendant

Motion For Further leave of Court to Amend, Motion For Application For Order For Relief against Defendant Bill Segrest et al of Alabama's Parole Board, that reliefiwill demand farole Board give reason For denying an Inmates Parole that has a class A Felony (with a victim), so as to Prove NO EX POST FACTO Violation exist, and V.O. C. A. L. groups Voice is Not a deciding factor, and a restraining order be issued upon V.O. C. A. L. Via the Parole Board via Defendant Bill Segrest et al.

"Amendment to Complaint", In Compliance with Fed. R. of Civ. Proc. Rule 15(a)

Comes Now the Plaintiff Mark Shannon Wheeler in the above styled Honorable Court, and Prays that this Motions be Now Granted: Motion for Further leave of Court to Amend, Motion For Application for Order for Relief against Defendant Bill Segrestet, all of Alabama's Parole Board, that relief: Will demand Parole Board give reason for denying an Inmates Parole that has a Class A Felony (with a Victim), so as to Prove No EX Post Fact Violation exist, and V.O.C.A.L. groups voice is not a detiding factor, and a restraining order dant Bill Segrestet, al.

Grounds (For), in Which Relief should be granted:

1.) A GUIDELINE setting is just that, a guideline, by a Lawful term, is not abridged, nor exceeded. A guideline setting for Parole, Qualifies the Inmate for Parole, by Consideration. By Law, that qualifies the Inmate for sufficient and Capricious, and negligent minded Concerning the Pre-Calculated guideline setting farole Review Consideration duration, with the exception of Life without Parole, and the death Penalty. Defendant Bill segrest has approved united states Constitution, as well as Article I, section q, other Prisoners in the state of Alabama. Said defendant Board members into thinking the Following, and Pre stated negligent actions are acceptable:

2. Parole Board members by said approved policy have denied Inmates "Guideline setting" relief, after Plaintiff Wheeler reaching the tabulated (september 2003) tabulated Wheeler reaching the tabulated Deplember auus) Invaliding (in accordance to life Sentence imposed by the State Courts), duration Configuration. The said Board has been moving the guideline setting (goal), which is Cruel and unusual Punishment against plaintiff wheeler, and because Plaintiff Wheeler has a present Class a Felony (Murder) Conviction, Plaintiff wheeler was denied his 14th Amend U.S. Const. right to "Any person within its jurisdiction the Equal Protection of the laws, [1868], Plaintiff wheeler "claims" grounds for relief under 42 u.s.c. 1983 as he (Plaintiff Wheeler) has been treated differently than those with Considered Non Violent Cases by the defendant Bill Segrest, et als Policies, Said Defendants
have deprived Plaintiff Wheeler of his said 8th, and 14th Amendement of the U.S. Constitutions Rights "Equal Protection", which is seperate" from non-amenable liberties. Plainmileul than daniel and made 22 months late for a parole review, then denied, and reset 5 years more to that, depriving Wheeler Equal Protection" as the Boards Rules State," Not Page 5 of these Motions,), of Parole Manual dated feb. 23rd-2004. 3. The Members and patrons of the V.O.C. A.L. group have No redress protection unless each member or patron has a direct affiliation with each case by relation as a victim. A guaranty of 1st Amendment "Redress" does not cover address, there is a difference. The united STATES Constitution, Amendment I, of reliaion, or prohibiting the Free expresses themen of religion, or prohibiting the Free exercise thereof; or abridging the Freedom of Speech, or of the Press; or the right of the people of assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances. Plaintiff Wheeler therefore Claims in Affiliation of instant Complaint that V.O.C.A.L. has been violating that 1st Amendment to the united states Constitution to the degree of violating Amendment q [1791] of Inmates, as it states: The he Construed to denum of Certain rights, Shall not be Construed to deny or disparage others retained by the pe-ople. Plaintiff Wheeler States that Blacks Dictionary defines disparage: To Connect unequally. To dishonor by Comparison. V.o.C.A.L. is an established hate group "That is Tresspassing ment is in the "enumeration in the Constitution", in which v.o.C.A.L. and Anyone not directly Affiliated as A Victim in

a Case, Also Tresspass As well, by unequally dishonoring

Inmates by Comparison.

Pre December, 2001 the Parole Boards Guideline setting 4, (for Plaintiff Wheeler in Whom has been incarcerated since August 17th-1993) was (10) Ten Years, or one third of Sentence, Whichever is lesser. Plaintiff wheeler was given a September 2003 "Guideline Setting" date. Plaintiff Mark Wheeler will now prove Dependant Segrest Violated Ex post FACTO, Anticle 1, section 9, united States Constitution it states: No Bill of Attainder or expost facto Law Shall be passed. Plaintiff Wheeler Now shows this Honorable Court that "Pre" December, 2001, at time of Wheeler's Sentencing (may, 1994) the Buideline Setting was for Murder as was wheelers was (10) Ten Years, or one third which ever was lesser. On December 4th, 2001, Defendant Bill Segrest Approved the Boards "Rules Regs Procedures," which states A murder case (Class A felony). The initial Parole consideration date shall be set in Conjunction with the inmate's Completion of 85 (eighty rive) per cent of his or her total sent-ence or 15 years, whichever is less. See exhibit 1-C, Page 6 of this Motion, #7 on that page. Then on February 23rd,

2004, Defendant Bill Segrest et al Approved The EX Post

Annon an Illa Rango of Facto Violation on the BOARD ORDER STATE BOARD OF DEING Sued in his proffessional/Individual Capacity Procedures are hereby repealed "Plaintiff Wheeler In aludes this BOARD Orders governing these in cludes this "BOARD ORDER". See exhibit, 1-A, Page Wheeler plainly shows that All ORDERS previous to the procedures set out in the February 23rd, 2004 or Ders are hereby "Repealed" Plaintiff Wheeler Shows By Blacks definition,
fepeal, n. Abrogation of an existing law by legislative Act.

(alaw or custom) by formal or authoritative action: to annul or repeal. annulment defined in Blacks; The act of nullifying or Making void. Plaintiff Wheeler Proves that it doesn't get any Plainer than that, the Dependant Bill, Segrest negligently Allowed the Changing of the "Equal Protection guaranteed Plaintiff Wheeler, By denying Wheeler of Sentence Guaranteed Wheeler at time of his Sentencing. 5). Inmates with non violent Felonies have not, and are not made late for parole review. The Defendant Cannot claim that "All" victims, and Affiliates could not be located for every" violent case, yet the Defendant has approved for review, violating Inmates (listed herein are 4 names) but there are surely hundreds More, Perhaps thousands, with Class A, violent felony Convictions, Only For Parole, to Allow the defendant a small covering to Avoid the ToTAITITY of making All serve 15 years, or 85 percent exposure. This 15 year 85 percent has been made retroactive by a 95 percent of violent Class A Felons have been denied Parole, We Know that Parole is A priviledge, A gift, and so many are de-Serving, After a proven lengthy rehabilitation effort with proof of results, are still, denied Parole.

Defendant Bill Segrest Approved; All Former rules have been Changed (repealed), on February 23rd, 2004, violating Expost Facto, cruel and unusual Punishment, Equal protections.

- 7) This Motion invokes this Honorable Courts Jurisdiction in the Judicial Branch, by Plaintiff Wheeler's detailed Motion of Claims which can be grounds for relief requested by Plaintiff Wheeler to Intervene, this Court as A monitor to regulate appropriate forthcommings in the Future by the Defendant Bill Segrest, et al.
 - 8) This Honorable Court should or Der said Defendants to Show reason for denial of Parole, For All Class A Felons with A Violent case whom was sentenced "Prior" to December 4th, 2001, because of evidence of Expost facto violation (exhibit 1-A, repealing on Page 4 of this Motions Incorporation).
 - 9) If Inmates are paroled, the said defendants should not be made to show this Honorable Court, reasons why the class A (violent Considered) Felons are paroled.
- 10) All these issues of grounds related (obviously) to Instant Complaint, All Jurisdictions of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are hereby invoked to Attain to Avoid Attainder. I MARK Shannon Wheeler hereby state this to be true and Correct, this the 30th day of MAY, 2006.

A13#139044 MARK Shannon wheeler Plaintiff Prose